IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT BECKLEY

RYAN HYSELL and CRYSTAL HYSELL, on behalf of their daughter, A.H., a minor,

Plaintiffs,

ν.

Civil Action No. 5:18-cv-01375

RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL, and THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendants.

<u>DEFENDANT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S</u> <u>MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</u>

Pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and applicable law, defendant United States of America ("United States") moves the Court to enter summary judgment in its favor against the plaintiffs on the following grounds:

- 1. This is an action arising under the Federal Tort Claims Act ("FTCA"), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b), 2671-2680.
- 2. Under the FTCA, the substantive law of the State of West Virginia applies to this case because the tortious conduct alleged by plaintiffs in the Complaint is alleged to have occurred in West Virginia. 28 U.S.C. § 2672.
- 3. Under W. Va. Code § 55-7B-3, plaintiffs must prove by a preponderance of evidence that the United States was negligent and that such negligence proximately caused the injuries alleged by the plaintiffs.
- 4. The plaintiffs are unable to prove that the negligence that they have alleged in this action proximately caused the injuries alleged by the plaintiffs.
 - 5. Since the plaintiffs are unable to prove proximate causation as required by W. Va.

Code § 55-7B-3, the United States is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

- 6. The United States also relies on the following exhibits to support this motion:
- A. Exhibit A which is an excerpt from the deposition testimony of John Fassett.
- B. Exhibit B which is an excerpt from the deposition testimony of Patricia Connors.
- C. Exhibit C which is an excerpt from the deposition testimony of Dr. A.M. Iqbal O'Meara.
- D. Exhibit D which is an excerpt from the deposition testimony of Laura Lampton.
- E. Exhibit E which is an excerpt from the deposition testimony of Chad Staller.
- F. Exhibit F which is an excerpt from the deposition testimony of Dr. Jerome Barakos.
- G. Exhibit G which is an excerpt from the deposition testimony of Dr. Thomas Rugino.
- H. Exhibit H which is an excerpt from the deposition testimony of Elizabeth Schorry.
- I. Exhibit I which is an excerpt from the deposition testimony of Dr. Todd Arthur.

Therefore, the United States requests that the Court enter an order granting summary judgment and dismissing this civil action. The United States also requests such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate under the law.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL B. STUART **United States Attorney**

s/Fred B. Westfall, Jr. Fred B. Westfall, Jr. (WV Bar No. 3992)

Assistant United States Attorney

Linds. Dugallo.

P.O. Box 1713

Charleston, WV 25326

Phone: 304-345-2200 Fax: 304-347-5443

E-mail: <u>fred.westfall@usdoj.gov</u>

Counsel for Defendant United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT BECKLEY

RYAN HYSELL and CRYSTAL HYSELL, on behalf of their daughter, A.H., a minor,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil Action No. 5:18-cy-01375

RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL, and THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendants.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 12, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing

DEFENDANT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY

JUDGMENT with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification to the following CM/ECF participants:

Christopher T. Nace
Barry J. Nace
Matthew A. Nace
Paulson & Nace, PLLC
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street NW
Suite 810
Washington, D.C. 20007
Counsel for Plaintiffs

D.C. Offutt, Jr.
I. Matthew Mains
David L. Shuman, Jr.
Offutt Nord PLLC
949 Third Avenue, Suite 300
P. O. Box 2868
Huntington, WV 25728-2868
Counsel for defendant Raleigh General Hospital

Land B. Sugall &.

s/ Fred B. Westfall, Jr. Fred B. Westfall, Jr. (WV Bar No. 3992)

Assistant United States Attorney

P.O. Box 1713

Charleston, WV 25326

Phone: 304-345-2200 Fax: 304-347-5443

E-mail: <u>fred.westfall@usdoj.gov</u>

Counsel for Defendant United States of America

Exhibit A

JOHN FASSETT, RN, CNM RYAN HYSELL vs RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL

January 06, 2020

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
3	AT BECKLEY
4	
5	
6	RYAN HYSELL and CRYSTAL
7	HYSELL, on behalf of their daughter, A.H., a minor,
8	Plaintiffs, No. 5:18-cv-01375
9	vs.
10	
11	RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL, et al,
12	Defendants.
13	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
14	ı
15	DEPOSITION OF
16	JOHN FASSETT, RN, CNM
17	
18	January 6, 2020
19	10:00 a.m.
20	
21	44 Montgomery Street, Ste. 1100
22	San Francisco, California
23	
24	Joan Theresa Cesano, CSR No. 2590
25	
I	



JOHN FASSETT, RN, CNM RYAN HYSELL vs RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL

January 06, 2020 12

1	this, mark this as Deposition Exhibit Number 3 for the	10:08AM
2	moment. Are these notes?	10:08AM
3	(Exhibit 3 marked)	10:08AM
4	MR. NACE: Counsel, what was 2?	10:08AM
5	MR. WESTFALL: 2 is going to be the strip.	10:08AM
6	MR. NACE: Okay.	10:08AM
7	BY MR. WESTFALL:	10:08AM
8	Q The notes that you handed us, I'll show that as	10:08AM
9	Exhibit Number 3. Is that a copy of the notes that you	10:08AM
10	gave to us prior to the deposition?	10:08AM
11	A They are.	10:09AM
12	Q And those notes, looks like, refer to kind of	10:09AM
13	your running thoughts on the depositions and also on the	10:09AM
14	electronic fetal monitoring strip; is that correct?	10:09AM
15	A Correct.	10:09AM
16	Q Anything else in those notes covers anything else	10:09AM
17	other than that?	10:09AM
18	A No.	10:09AM
19	Q Have you relied on any factual information not	10:09AM
20	contained in anything that you've reviewed?	10:09AM
21	A No.	10:09AM
22	Q Are you intending to give any opinions on	10:09AM
23	causation in this case?	10:09AM
24	A I am not.	10:09AM
25	. Q Now, you mentioned that you had an electronic	10:09AM



Exhibit B

Ryan Hysell et al. vs Raleigh General Hospital et al. CONNORS, PATRICIA on 01/13/2020

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA RYAN HYSELL and CRYSTAL HYSELL, on behalf of their daughter, A.H., a minor, Plaintiffs,) Case No. v.) 5:18-cv-01375 RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL, COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC., D/B/A ACCESS HEALTH, DEBRA CROWDER, CNM, and THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED AND TELECONFERENCED DEPOSITION OF:

PATRICIA CONNORS

Taken before Andrea B. Davison, RPR,

Notary Public in and for the State of Maine,

pursuant to notice dated December 16, 2019, at

the offices of Gaige & Feliccitti, LLC, 205

Woodford Street, Portland, Maine, on January

13, 2020, commencing at 10:00 a.m.

Andrea B. Davison, RPR
GAIGE & FELICCITTI, LLC
205 Woodford Street - Portland, ME 04103
scheduling@gandfreporting.com ~ (207) 854-5296
www.gandfreporting.com

Ryan Hysell et al. vs Raleigh General Hospital et al. CONNORS, PATRICIA on 01/13/2020

Page 27

1	Α.	No.
2	Q.	Okay. All right. Fair enough. All right.
3		We had we had just marked your expert
4		statement as Exhibit 2. And you had told me
5		that in addition to the original material you
6		reviewed in this case, you had reviewed the
7		deposition of Ms. Hysell's mother, the
8		grandmother of the child, and also the
9		deposition testimony that was taken last week
10		in California of John Fassett, who's the
11		nursing-midwife expert, correct?
12	Α.	Correct.
13	Q.	And that that neither of those depositions
14		had changed your opinions in this case,
15		correct?
16	A.	That's right.
17	Q.	All right. Let's go over your deposition
18		statement or your expert statement then as
19		shown in Exhibit 2.
20		You start off with a brief summary, and
21		you have some observations about what happened
22		after birth, after the delivery, to the child.
23		Let me ask you if you intend to offer any
24		opinions regarding causation or damages in
25		this case.

Ryan Hysell et al. vs Raleigh General Hospital et al. CONNORS, PATRICIA on 01/13/2020

Page 28

No, I don't. 1 Α. 2 Q. All right. You make a comment about the Apgar 3 scores of 7 and 8, and you say it in your 4 It is, "It appears that with regard 5 to those Apgar scores the ones that were, 6 quote, 1 and not a 2 were: respiratory 7 effect, muscle tone, and color." 8 By making that statement, are you any --9 in any way critical of the Apgar scoring 10 itself? 11 Α. Yes, I -- yes, I am. 12 Q. In -- in what regard? 13 Well, the baby was not completely pink, so you Α. 14 should not have gotten a tube. They had to 15 give the baby some blow by. And there was 16 also some muscle tone. The baby was also 17 taken to the nursery for four hours, and mom 18 did not see the baby for four hours. That's 19 what my concerns were. 20 Q. All right. So your comment there, just so I 21 understand, you're saying that those were 22 marked 2 and you believe they should have been 23 1's? 24 A. Yes.

So what would your Appar scoring at one

25

Q.

Okay.

Exhibit C

AM IQBAL O'MEARA, M.D. HYSELL vs RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL

January 28, 2020

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT BECKLEY
3	
4	RYAN HYSELL and : CRYSTAL HYSELL, :
5	Plaintiffs, :
6	: Civil Action No: v. : 5:18-cv-01375
7	: S:18-CV-01375 : RALEIGH GENERAL :
8	HOSPITAL, et al.,
9	Defendants.
10	
11	
12	DEPOSITION OF AM IQBAL O'MEARA, M.D.
13	
14	Tuesday, January 28, 2020
15	1:09 p.m.
16	
17	Paulson & Nace 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W.
18	Suite 810 Washington, D.C
19	maphing con, D.C
20	
21	Terry L. Bradley, Court Reporter
22	
- 1	



AM IQBAL O'MEARA, M.D. HYSELL vs RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL

January 28, 2020

- that that primary responsibility is not nurse
 midwife Crowder's responsibility. So given
- 3 | that, then no.
- Q. Do you have any training as a certified nurse midwife?
- 6 A. No.
- Q. Are you familiar with the standards
 of care that apply to certified nurse midwives
 at the time of the events involved in this
 case?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. Have you ever supervised a certified nurse midwife?
- 14 A. No.

18

- Q. And in fact, in your current practice you don't deal with certified nurse midwives, do you?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Let's take a look at the No. 1 opinion that you have in this case.
- 21 Oh. Let me go back.
- 22 Are you intending to give causation



AM IQBAL O'MEARA, M.D. HYSELL vs RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL

January 28, 2020

1 opinions in this case? 2 Α. No. So let's take a look at opinion No. 3 Ο. 4 Failure to identify a nonreassuring fetal 5 heart tracing and intervene accordingly. 6 I take it you were given copies of 7 the electronic fetal monitor strip to review in 8 this case? 9 Α. That's correct. 10 Q. Let me show you what's been marked 11 as Exhibit No. 4. This is a copy of the fetal 12 heart tracings that I received from plaintiffs' 13 counsel. 14 (Exhibit 4 marked for identification.) 15 16 I'd like for you to take a look at 17 those and compare that with what you received 18 to see if they're one and the same. 19 Α. If they're one and the same? 20 Ο. Yes. 21 Α. Okay. 22 Q. You can probably tell by the page



Exhibit D

Laura Lampton

January 16, 2020 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA 3 CASE NO. 5:18-CV-01375 4 HON. JUDGE IRENE BERGER 5 6 7 RYAN HYSELL AND CRYSTAL HYSELL, 8 ON BEHALF OF THEIR DAUGHTER, A.H., 9 A MINOR, 10 PLAINTIFFS 11 12 ٧. 13 14 RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL, 15 COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC., 16 D/B/A ACCESS HEALTH, DEBRA 17 CROWDER, CNM, AND 18 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 19 DEFENDANTS 20 21 22 23 DEPONENT: LAURA LAMPTON 24 DATE: JANUARY 16, 2020 25 REPORTER: LACEE TOWNSEND

1	reviewed?	
2	A	No.
3	Q	You would agree with me that you're not a
4	physician	?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	You're not able to make medical diagnoses?
7	А	Correct.
8	Q	You're not able to prescribe medicine?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	You're not able to order treatment?
11	А	Correct.
12	Q	In terms of opinions with respect to the issue
13	of life e	xpectancy, you previously testified that you
14	would def	er to a physician?
15	А	Correct.
16	Q	Is that still the case?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q Q	You do not plan to offer any opinions about
19	this ap	oplicable standard of care for a hospital or
20	nurses?	
21	А	Correct.
22	Q	You're not rendering opinions as to the cause
23	of A.H.'s	alleged injuries in this case?
24	А	Correct.
25	Q .	Explain to me your general methodology in

Exhibit E

Chad Staller, JD, MBA, MAC, CVA January 21, 2020

```
1
```

```
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
 1
 2
         FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
 3
     RYAN HYSELL and CRYSTAL:
 4
     HYSELL, on behalf of :
     their daughter, A.H., :
 5
     a minor
         Plaintiffs
 6
 7
         ٧.
 8
     RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL,:
     COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS,:
 9
     INC. d/b/a ACCESS HEALTH,:
     DEBRA CROWDER, CNM and:
     THE UNITED STATES OF :
10
     AMERICA
11
         Defendants.
                            : NO. 5:18-CV-01375
12
13
                 Tuesday, January 21, 2020
14
15
     Videotape deposition of CHAD STALLER, JD, MBA,
16
     MAC, CVA, held in the offices of Center for
17
     Forensic Economic Studies, 1608 Walnut Street,
18
     Suite 801, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103,
19
     commencing at 11:13 a.m. on the above date,
20
     before Maryanne S. Gorham, Court Reporter and
21
     Notary Public in the Commonwealth of
22
     Pennsylvania.
23
              U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT
24
         1818 Market Street, 14th floor
              Philadelphia, PA 19103
25
              (877) 479-2484
```

1	A. Agreed.
2	Q. You're not qualified to make a
3	medical diagnosis?
4	A. Agreed.
5	Q. Not able to prescribe medicine?
6	A. True.
7	Q. Order treatment?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. In this case and we will get into
10	this more specifically, is it fair to say you
11	deferred to either Dr. Rugino and/or Nurse
12	Lampton with respect to Aubrie Hysell's life
13	expectancy?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. You made no independent conclusions
16	about Aubrie Hysell's life expectancy; correct?
17	A. True.
18	Q. You would agree that you're not
19	qualified to give opinions on the applicable
20	standard of care for a hospital or a nurse?
21	A. Sure.
22	Q. As you sit here today, you do not
23	tend to render opinions as to the cause of
24	Aubrie Hysell's alleged injuries in this case?
25	A. Nothing with regard to cause.

Exhibit F

January 30, 2020

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
3	AT BECKLEY
4	
5	RYAN HYSELL and CRYSTAL HYSELL, on behalf of their
6	daughter, A.H., a minor,
7	Plaintiffs,
8	vs. Case No. 5:18-cv-01375
9	RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL, et al.
10	Defendants.
11	/
12	
13	
14	
15	DEPOSITION OF JEROME A. BARAKOS, M.D.
16	Thursday, January 30, 2020
17	San Francisco, California
18	Pages 1 to 36
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY:
24	Richard M. Raker
25	CSR No. 3445
	į



January 30, 2020

- insult. The location, distribution, morphology and signal is consistent with that, most specifically a hypoperfusional and hypoxic event, and are not in keeping with a genetic basis.
- Q. Now, you mentioned that your findings are from a remote injurious insult; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Are you able to time the insult to any degree other than the fact it's a remote injurious insult?
- A. No, sir. Typically we're in a position to state that this is an abnormal finding that would be associated with hypoperfusion hypoxia, and then turn it over to the clinical experts or the clinicians caring for the child to ascertain what potential sentinel event would be associated with this process.
- Q. And in this particular case, are you going to come to trial and give an opinion any more definitive about the timing of the insult other than what you've just mentioned?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. All right. Now, in terms of your other opinions in this case that we haven't discussed, can you tell me what they are, please?
 - A. I think I pretty much covered it. There's



January 30, 2020

1 confined essentially to the white matter of the 2 brain?

A. Yes, sir.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

- Q. You mentioned that you did review a report from Dr. Gordon Sze; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Do you have any disagreements with his report?
 - A. I would say that I agree with Dr. Sze that these findings are in keeping with periventricular leukomalacia. I would disagree with Dr. Sze in his statement that -- so the way he phrases it, so I disagree with the statement that these findings of periventricular leukomalacia or gliosis can be consistent with a genetic cause.
 - Q. Any other disagreements with his report other than that?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Doctor, my understanding is that you were a contributor to the textbook "Fundamentals of Diagnostic Radiology"; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And in the latest edition, did you author the chapter on "Pediatric Neuroimaging"?
 - A. If it's edition -- the latest edition,



January 30, 2020

matter from inheritable, genetic and metabolic conditions do damage white matter, do give signal abnormality, do cause tissue dropout, but it's simply not in this pattern.

So that's why I would state, for example, if the case goes to court and someone on the other side is saying, "Jerry, I believe this could be genetic," well, then, I would be in a position to pull all the literature I could find on the vast array of dysmyelinating and demyelinating conditions to show that no such genetic condition exists that gives you this morphologic pattern of PVL. But at the end of the day I wouldn't disagree with the fact that, yeah, this could be PVL, which I'm stating it is, but there may be a genetic process as well, but that genetic process would not and could not be accounting for the white matter changes that we've described on the imaging study.

- Q. Doctor, you were asked about how many depositions in terms of percentages in which you testified. I guess -- let me go back a step further. How many cases do you review per year?
- A. On the neighborhood of about six or eight a year.
 - Q. And I would assume that review follows the



Exhibit G

THOMAS ANDREW RUGINO, M.D. HYSELL vs RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL

February 01, 2020

1	THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
3	AT BECKLEY
4	
5	RYAN HYSELL and CRYSTAL HYSELL,
6	ON BEHALF OF THEIR DAUGHTER, A.H.,
7	A MINOR
8	Plaintiffs,
. 9	-v- Civil Action No:
10	RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL, ET AL. 5:18-cv-01375
11	Defendants.
12	
13	Deposition of Thomas Andrew Rugino, M.D.
14	Washington, DC
15	Saturday, February 1, 2020
16	9:40 a.m.
17	
18	
19	Job No: J4786812
20	Pages: 1-132
21	Reported by: Kenneth Norris



THOMAS ANDREW RUGINO, M.D. HYSELL vs RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL

February 01, 2020 37

from the calvarium and mild enlargement of the ventricle.

Q. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Let's talk about the March -- well, first of all, is that pretty much the sum total of your understanding of what was shown on the April 2012 MRI scan?

- A. I think that's a good summary.
- Q. Let's talk about the March 2016 MRI scan. What's your understanding of what that scan showed?
- A. It showed pretty much the same thing, signal changes in the periventricular region and volume loss.
- Q. Is it your understanding that these scans show that periventricular leukomalacia or PVL?
- A. Yes. That's a -- that's a reasonable interpretation.
- Q. Now, let's take a look at the next section.

 After the neurological you have got

 neurodevelopmental.

This particular information, where did it come from?



THOMAS ANDREW RUGINO, M.D. HYSELL vs RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL

February 01, 2020 69

manifestation of muscle dyscontrol along with the dysphagia. Those are aspects of muscle dyscontrol that you just don't see with autism spectrum disorder.

Even the tremors. They're not characteristic at all of individuals with autism spectrum disorder.

- Q. Now, in terms of the hypoxic injury that you believe occurred in this case, are you intending to come to trial and express an opinion as to when it occurred?
- 11 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

- Q. So, as we sit here today, you're not going to come to trial and testify to a reasonable degree of medical probability as to when the hypoxic injury occurred; is that correct?
- A. No.
 - Q. That's not correct or that's correct?
- 18 A. Oh, I'm sorry.
 - Q. I mean --
 - A. I have no intention of testifying at trial as to the timing of the injury.



Exhibit H

Page 1 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA 3 RYAN HYSELL and CRYSTAL HYSELL, 4 5 on behalf of their daughter, A.H., a minor, 6 7 Plaintiffs, CASE NO. 5:18-cv-01375 8 vs. 9 RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL, COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC., 10 11 D/B/A/ ACCESS HEALTH, DEBRA CROWDER, CNM, and THE UNITED 12 13 STATES OF AMERICA, 14 Defendants. 15 16 Deposition of ELIZABETH SCHORRY, M.D., 17 Witness herein, called by the Defendants for 18 cross-examination pursuant to the Rules of Civil 19 Procedure, taken before me, April L. Crites, RPR, 20 RMR, CRR, a Notary Public in and for the State of 21 Ohio, at the offices of Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, 3333 Burnet Avenue, 22 23 Conference Room E5.249, Cincinnati, Ohio, 45229, 24 on Monday, November 25, 2019, at 9:51 a.m. 25

Page 29 amount of time that we can say just based on 1 the symptoms. 2 Q. Okay. Based on the MRI findings 3 of white matter injury around the ventricles, 4 where -- and just in terms of the MRI, what 5 would that indicate in terms of the timing of 6 7 that injury? MR. NACE: Asking for an expert 8 opinion, which I don't think is her expertise. 9 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 10 That 11 is not my area of expertise. BY MR. OFFUTT: 12 Okay. All right. 13 Ο. 14 So did the findings of the white 15 material injury around the ventricles play any part in your diagnosis or assessment of Aubrie? 16 17 Α. It did play some part in the 18 assessment. 19 Q. Okay. I mean, I've seen these sorts of 20 changes often. I see them often in children 21 22 who are premature, which she was not. 23 Is this condition also called periventricular leukomalacia? 24 25 Α. It can be called that, yes.

Page 69 1 MR. NACE: Yes, I'm still here. Okay. So we know it's on 2 MS. KNERR: Barry's end. Maybe he just needs to try --3 4 THE WITNESS: There it says your 5 speaker is muted. Un-mute? MR. NACE: Are you there? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Are you back? 8 MR. NACE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: We lost you. 9 MR. NACE: I know, I'm sure you 10 11 missed us. Matt did it. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay, good. BY MR. NACE: 13 14 The question I was asking, ma'am, 0. 15 you weren't aware of what the sats were at the 16 time of delivery and in the nursery, were you? 17 Α. No. 18 Ο. You were told that there was some 19 suctioning that took place, but you have no idea what was suctioned or how much, right? 20 21 Right. Only what the parents told Α. 22 us was all the information we had at that time. 23 Q. As a geneticist, do you get into 24 things such as hypoxia causing brain damage? 25 Or is that something outside of your area?

Page 70 That is generally outside of my 1 Α. My area is, are there genetic causes for 2 the brain injury? 3 If there were -- if it was known 4 Q. 5 that there were causes that showed -- that bad sats that showed suctioning of meconium --6 Oh, was there meconium? 7 8 heard anything about meconium. You haven't heard my question yet. 9 Q. Α. 10 Okay. That would affect your opinions on 11 0. 12 whether this is genetic history or not, right? This is Fred Westfall. MR. WESTFALL: 13 I'll place an objection on the record. 14 15 question assumes facts that definitely are not in evidence. 16 17 MR. NACE: Okay. MR. OFFUTT: Join in the objection. 18 19 BY MR. NACE: 20 Ma'am, you weren't given Q. information that might indicate a cause of 21 22 something other than genetics; is that correct? 23 I was not given information at that first visit? No, I didn't -- I didn't 24 really have any --25

Exhibit I

Page 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA 2 3 RYAN HYSELL and CRYSTAL HYSELL, 4 on behalf of their daughter, A.H., 5 6 a minor, 7 Plaintiffs, CASE NO. 5:18-cv-01375 8 vs. RALEIGH GENERAL HOSPITAL, 9 1.0 COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC., 11 D/B/A/ ACCESS HEALTH, DEBRA 12 CROWDER, CNM, and THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 13 14 Defendants. 15 16 Deposition of TODD M. ARTHUR, M.D., Witness herein, called by the Defendants for 17 cross-examination pursuant to the Rules of Civil 18 19 Procedure, taken before me, April L. Crites, RPR, 20 RMR, CRR, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, at the offices of Cincinnati Children's 21 Hospital Medical Center, 3333 Burnet Avenue, 22 23 Conference Room E5.249, Cincinnati, Ohio, on 24 Monday, November 25, 2019, at 12:32 p.m. 25

Page 28 1 global delays or any type of physical 2 manifestation of an injury, neurologic or otherwise, have these same MRI findings as 3 Aubrie? 4 5 Specific to her imaging, yes, they could -- they could have normal development, 6 not have global developmental delay. 7 Ο. 8 Okay. 9 Α. If I answered your question; I think I did. 10 11 Q. Right. If the -- if her brain had been 12 13 injured at the time of birth would -- by hypoxia or whatever, would you expect it to 14 have been microcephalic at birth? 15 16 MS. KNERR: I think we're getting a 17 little close to getting some expert testimony, but 18 qo ahead. 19 THE WITNESS: All right. 20 MS. KNERR: Go ahead. 21 THE WITNESS: Would I expect her to 22 be microcephalic at birth? No. BY MR. OFFUTT: 23 24 All right. Were you able to make 25 a determination as to the cause of Aubrie's

Page 29 1 conditions? Her global delay and other issues she was having? 2 Α. 3 No. I note in the records that at one 4 Q. 5 point you say that she has a condition known as cerebral palsy. 6 Α. Correct. 7 8 Ο. And is it true that that is more a description of a constellation of symptoms than a diagnosis? 10 Α. 11 Correct. 12 Q. And the fact that it's labeled as cerebral palsy doesn't tell you anything about 13 the cause of the condition, does it? 14 15 Α. Correct. 16 MR. OFFUTT: Okay. Thank you, 17 Doctor. That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 19 MR. OFFUTT: All right, Fred. 20 MR. WESTFALL: For some reason, I got -- I got dropped off for part of this. But I 21 22 don't have any questions. Thank you very much. 23 MR. OFFUTT: You're trusting that I 24 asked all the right questions? 25 MR. WESTFALL: Hey, I have to. For